COMMENTS ON REACTIONS

OCCURRING INTERNAL TO A BUNCH

INBRHIC

G. R. Young

B.N.L

May 4, 1984

## Comment on leastions Occurring internal To a Burch

Given the RF bucket size needed to operate near transition, which corresponds to a sp/p of 4.96 = 2.55 (10<sup>-3</sup>) at 30 GeV, according to G. Paryen, it is useful to consider losses due to nuclear reactions occurring inside a bunch.

The energy spead inside a bunch at kinetic energy Eo. of where Eo = 0.9315 GeV/A, is of = 5 Eo & in the lab frame, or

 $\Delta E_{3} = \frac{\Delta E_{L}}{8} = \delta E_{0}$  in the bunch finne of reference.

Then with  $S=210^{-3}$  at  $30\,\mathrm{GeV}$ , this corresponds to  $0\,\mathrm{E_B}=1.86\,\mathrm{MeV/A}$  in a bunch; this is an vms munter so there are particles with relative energies. Furice this (or more). This is in excess of the Coulomb barrier to the reaction even for the heaviest nuclei; if these nuclei react they are lost from the beam. Above the barrier the cross section rapidly becomes geometric, so we use  $\sigma_{\mathrm{G}}$  to be processored conservative.

Note this problem does not occur for a p-p collider, as even though such protons are above the reaction barrier, no reaction channels are open with any significant cross section (though p+p-> p + n + et + ve can go, but with modest cross section). In the nuclear case, lots of channels open, especially those leading to n or p emission, causing an A/2 shift and consequent beam loss.

We need the particle devisity in a bunch in the nest frame of a bunch and the relative velocity of a particle in the bunch to the bunch center of mass.

For gold, we have 109 ions in a bunch of Jungth = 50 cm,  $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = 1 \, \text{mm}$ . Taking the peak density for gaussian distributions  $n_{Lab} = \frac{10^{4}}{10 \text{cm} \cdot (0.1 \text{cm})^{2} (2\pi)^{3/2}} = \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}$ 

In the bunch frame, transverse dimensions are the same but the length is greater by 8, so at 30 GeV/A (8 = 33,206)

n bunch = 1,91 x 107 cm-3

The velocity of an ion relative to the bunch toes not exceed  $\beta = 0.1$  ( $\Rightarrow$  4169 MeV/A), so we get a reaction note in the bunch frame of reference

 $\frac{dN}{dt} = N \circ n \circ \sigma \circ \beta c$   $N = \# parhicles in bunch, <math>\sigma = cons section = 6.65 barns ( Geom Aut Au)$ 

This gives a loss nate in the bunch frame

T-1 = noBc = 3.81 x/0-7 sec-1

In the lab frame, time dilates so rates decrease, so  $Z^{-1} = 1.15$  or ~ 3 years to lose the bunch.

Thus, we can safely neglect nuclear reaction processes occurring within the bunch.

of the order of 16 hours for a bunch, or 8 hours for leminosity,

I wereser tells us there are to known processes that do this. The loss process suggested by L. Schroeder of LBL in the technical review (willis panel) of 4/30-5/1/84, apparently only causes trouble at very large relative energies, several GeV/A, which makes it a beam beam loss process.

Weneser says this process, of ete-pair creation, in a collision, in the K shell of one Au ion, with ejection of the et and atomic apture of the e- (which causes loss of the ion from the beam) to a cross section of ~ 150 borns for U + U at 100 × 100 be V/A. This is of the order of the Coulomb dissociation process noted endies by Kahana and Barton. Newser further says the cross section scales as ~ Z 7, meaning for Au +Au it should be 66 % smaller and for I+I it should be less than the geometric nuclear cross section.

Note added: The above as hunte was very conservative as we used the central bunch density, maximum relative energy for all ions in the bunch, etc., a "hard" calculation would give a smaller loss rate, but it can already be neglected.